

Annual (April 1, 2013 to March 31, 2014) Performance Evaluation Report in respect of RFD 2013-2014 of RSCs i.e. Institutes

Name of the Division: Horticulture Division.

Name of the Institution: National Research Centre for Litchi, Muzaffarpur

RFD Nodal Officer of the RSC: Dr. Vinod Kumar

-												у.			
No.			·			2.				့်ယ		*			
		Production and post-harvest management in litchi		Plant genetic resources management and crop improvement in litchi			Training and transfer of technology to stakeholders		*Efficient functioning of the RFD system		*Administrative reforms				
oht wei	611		46			25				18		သ		4	
Acuons(s)		Development of improved production technology	Development of post- harvest technology	Production of quality planting materials	Collection of germplasm	Selection and establishment of	Hybridization	Characterization of seedling population	Organization of training programmes	for farmers and processors	Timely submission of Draft RFD (2013-14) for approval	Timely submission of Results for RFD (2012-13)	Implement ISO 9001 as per the approved action plan	Prepare an action plan for Innovation	
Success indicators(s)		Production technology developed	Post-harvest technology developed	Saplings produced	Germplasm collected	Clones selected and established in field	Hybrid seedlings	Seedlings characterized	Trainings organized		On-time submission	On-time submission	% Implementation	On-time submission	
Unit	CPI		Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number	Number		Date	Date	%	Date
Weight			18	10	18	6	∞	S	6	18		2	1	2	2
	Excellent	100%	ယ	2	25000	00	10	40	45	∞		May 15, 2013	May 1, 2013	100	30/07/2 013
Targe	Very Good	90%	2	_	24000	6	∞	35	35	6		May 16 2013	May 2, 2013	95	10/08 /2013
Target /Criteria Value	Good	80%	1	1	2300	4	6	30	25	5		May 16, May 17 2013 2013	May 5, 2013	90	20/08 /2013
a Value	Fair	70 %	ı		220	2	5	25	20	4		7, May 20,	May 6, 2013	85	30/ 08/ 201
	Poor	60%	,	1	20000	-	4	20	15	3		May 21, 2013	May 7, 2013	80	10/09/20 13
Ach	men	(5	ζ 'ω	. 1	278	5	6	0	45	00		May 3 2013	May 3, 2013	(-	14/0 8/20 13
Perfo	Raw	(7 18	90	100	85	8	0	100	100	1	100	8.8) 0	8
Performance	Weig hted	score	<(≅	10	138	5.1	6.4	(0)	(00	18	3	(1)	E E	} (0	7.6
Percent	ments against	Target values of 90% Col.	150.0	100.0	116.2	83.3	75.0	0.0	128.6	133.3		1	1		
Reasons	shortfalls	achieveme nts, if applicable	NA+	NA+	NA++	NA	NA	#	NA+	NA+					

* Improving internal efficiency /responsiveness /	service delivery of Department/ Ministry
4	
Implementation Sevottam	
of	
of Independent audit of implementation of Citizen's Charter	Independent audit of implementation of public grievance redressal system
%	%
2	2
100	100
95	95
90	90
85	85
80	80
100	100
(5	100
(N	(1)

NA=Not applicable, NA⁺ = Meets excellent target (100% col.), NA++ = Quantity produced was dependent on lifting of plants by the indenter/clients * Mandatory objectives, # All the hybrid fruits were destroyed by hailstorm, **A letter of enquiry has been issued to the identified firm for ISO certification.

Total Composite Score: 88.9

Rating: Very Good

Procedure for computing the Weighted and Composite Score

- 1. Weighted Score of a Success Indicator = Weight of the corresponding Success Indicator x Raw Score / 100
- 2. Total Composite Score = Sum of Weighted Scores of all the Success Indicators

Rating White good